

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,666	12/28/2004	Manfred Wittenstein	04-616	7412
34704 11/12/2009 BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET			EXAMINER	
			KOEHLER, CHRISTOPHER M	
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN	CT 06510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/519.666 WITTENSTEIN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Christopher M. Koehler 3726 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 June 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 17-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21.22 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-20,23 and 25-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/519,666 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 17-20, 23 and 25-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second
 paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the
 subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 17 and 34 are indefinite in scope because of the recitation of a mere possibility of a positive step in the system of producing gearboxes. Claim 17 requires that the assemblies "can be assembled in a modular manner to form different gear boxes, characterized in that [given the components it is] possible for at least two gearbox types with different kinematics to be produced". Claim 34 requires that the assemblies by different mounting of the components "can be produced". Neither claim requires a positive step in forming the system and it is therefore indefinite as to what the "system for producing" is, as claimed (i.e. is the claim directed to a "process" or a collection of "assemblies"?).
- Since the depending claims suffer the same deficiency they are equally indefinite
 as the examiner can not accurately determine the metes and bounds of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/519.666

Art Unit: 3726

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

 Claims 17-20, 23 and 25-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Siebenhaar (DE 26 49 949).

Claims 17 and 34 (as best understood):

Siebenhaar teaches a system for producing gearboxes (figure A) comprising a plurality of different subassemblies, inherently having some form of kinematics, comprising different mounting means for assembling certain subassemblies (figure A). Claims 18-20, 23, 25-33, 35 and 36 (as best understood):

Siebenhaar teaches that the several subassemblies can be assembled in a modular manner such that it anticipates the claims (figure A).

 Claims 17-20, 23 and 25-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seiji et al. (JP Pub No. 06-241284).

Claims 17 and 34 (as best understood):

Seiji teaches a system for producing gearboxes (figure 1) from different subassemblies (A1, A2, 200, C, B, D, E and F) in a casing (each has a casing) such that the subassemblies can be assembled in a modular manner (figure 1) wherein several of the subassemblies include planetary stages (B, C, D, E and F) wherein an output stage (D) is mounted either to the casing of a motor (A1, see figure 10) or on a planetary member (C, see figure 11).

Claims 18-20, 23, 25-33, 35 and 36 (as best understood):

Seiji teaches that the several subassemblies can be assembled in a modular manner such that it anticipates the claims (figures 1, 10 and 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/519,666 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 6/23/2009, with respect to the indefiniteness in scope due to the broad terminology used have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous 112 rejection of the claims has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Koehler whose telephone number is (571)272-3560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30A-4:00P.

Art Unit: 3726

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. M. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 3726

/DAVID P. BRYANT/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3726